



**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 11|01|2018  
**POLICY LAST UPDATED:** 03|19|2019

## OVERVIEW

Eustachian tube dysfunction occurs when the functional valve of the eustachian tube fails to open and/or close properly. Chronic dysfunction can lead to hearing loss, otitis media, tympanic membrane perforation, and cholesteatomas. Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube is a procedure intended to improve the patency by inflating a balloon in the cartilaginous part of the eustachian tube to cause local dilation.

## MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

## PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

## POLICY STATEMENT

### BlueCHiP for Medicare

Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube for treatment of patients with chronic eustachian tube dilatory dysfunction is not covered as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

### Commercial Products

Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube for treatment of patients with chronic eustachian tube dilatory dysfunction is considered not medically necessary as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

## COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage, or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

## BACKGROUND

### Eustachian Tube Function

The eustachian tube (ET) connects the middle ear space to the nasopharynx. It is approximately 36 mm long in adults. The ET ventilates the middle ear space to equalize pressure across the tympanic membrane, clears mucociliary secretions, and protects the middle ear from infection and reflux of nasopharyngeal contents. The tube opens during swallowing or yawning.

Eustachian tube dysfunction (ETD) occurs when the functional valve of the ET fails to open and/or close properly. This failure may be due to inflammation or anatomic abnormalities. ET dilatory dysfunction (ETDD) is most commonly caused by inflammation including rhinosinusitis and allergic rhinitis. ETDD can cause symptoms such as muffled hearing, ear fullness, tinnitus, and vertigo. Chronic ETDD can lead to hearing loss, otitis media, tympanic membrane perforation, and cholesteatomas.

### Epidemiology of ETD

The epidemiology of ETD, including incidence and prevalence of the disorder and associated symptoms in the community, primary care, and referral populations, is not well-characterized. Data are also lacking to describe the natural history of the disorder and impact on patient functioning.

## Diagnosis and Outcome Measures

There are no comprehensive guidelines regarding the diagnosis of ETD. In response to a National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment (2014) concluding that an important limitation with available evidence for treatments of ETD is a lack of consensus on the definition and diagnosis, an international group of scientists and physicians with expertise in ET disorders developed consensus statements on ETD. The meeting was funded by Acclarent, a manufacturer of a dilation technology. The following summarizes relevant 2015 consensus statements from the group.

There is no universally accepted set of patient-reported symptom scores, functional tests, or scoring systems to diagnose ETD.

- Diagnosis of ETDD should consider patient-reported symptoms along with evidence of negative pressure in the middle ear assessed by clinical assessment.
- Transient ETD is ETD with symptoms and signs lasting less than 3 months while chronic ETD is ETD with symptoms and signs lasting for more than 3 months.
- Future clinical trials should include outcomes related to patient-reported symptoms, otoscopy, tympanometry, and pure-tone audiometry, and outcomes should be assessed at baseline, in the short-term (6 weeks to 3 months) and the long-term (6-12 months).
- The 7-item Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Questionnaire is the only patient-reported outcome scale to have undergone initial validation studies.

Tympanometry is a frequently used outcome measure in ETD. Tympanometry measures the mobility of the tympanic membrane and graphically displays results in tympanograms. Tympanograms are classified by the height and location of the tympanometric peak. They are classified into 3 general patterns: type A indicates normal middle ear and ET function; type B indicates poor tympanic membrane mobility (“flat” tympanogram), and type C indicates the presence of negative middle ear pressure.

The 7-item Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Questionnaire is used to assess ETD-related symptoms such as pressure, pain, “clogged” ears, and muffled hearing over the previous month. The 7 items are rated by patients on a 7-level scale from 1 (no problem) to 7 (severe problem). The overall score is reported as a mean item score with a range from 1.0 to 7.0. The Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Questionnaire has been shown to be a valid and reliable symptom score for use in adults with ETD with overall score of 2.1 or higher having high accuracy to detect the presence of ETD.

Other important outcomes for evaluating a treatment for ETD are hearing outcomes, otitis media, clearance of middle ear effusion, tympanic membrane retraction, and quality of life. Another important consideration is the need for additional treatment, e.g., additional surgical procedures (including reintervention).

## Treatment of ETDD

Medical management of ETDD is directed by the underlying etiology: treatment of viral or bacterial rhinosinusitis; systemic decongestants, antihistamines, or nasal steroid sprays for allergic rhinitis; behavioral modifications and/or proton pump inhibitors for laryngopharyngeal reflux; and treatment of mass lesions. Although topical nasal steroids are commonly used for ETDD, triamcinolone acetonide failed to show benefit in patients ages 6 and older presenting with otitis media with effusion and/or negative middle ear pressure in a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial published (2011).

Patients who continue to have symptoms following medical management may be treated with surgery. Available surgical management includes myringotomy with the placement of tympanostomy tubes or eustachian tuboplasty. There is limited evidence and no randomized controlled trials supporting use of these surgical techniques. Norman et al (2014) reported that eustachian tuboplasty (other than balloon dilation) has been evaluated in 7 case series and was associated with improvement in symptoms in 36% to 92% of patients with low rates (13%-36%) of conversion to type A tympanogram (which is normal). Myringotomy and

typanostomy have been evaluated in 2 case series and were associated with symptom alleviation in a subgroup of patients.

### **Balloon Dilatation of the ET**

Balloon dilation is a tuboplasty procedure intended to improve the patency of the cartilaginous eustachian tube. During the procedure, a saline-filled balloon catheter is introduced into the eustachian tube through the nose using a minimally invasive transnasal endoscopic method. Pressure is maintained for approximately 2 minutes after which the balloon is emptied and removed. The procedure is usually performed under general anesthesia.

### **REGULATORY STATUS**

In September 2016, the AERA® (Acclarent) was granted a de novo 510(k) classification by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (class II, FDA product code: PNZ). The new classification applies to this device and substantially equivalent devices of this generic type. The AERA® is cleared for dilating the eustachian tube in patients ages 22 and older with persistent ETD.

In December 2016, the XprESS™ ENT Dilation System (Entellus Medical, Plymouth, MN) was cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process (K163509). FDA determined that this device was substantially equivalent to existing devices for use in eustachian tube dysfunction. The predicate devices are XprESS™ Multi-Sinus Dilation System and AERA® Eustachian Tube Balloon Dilation System.

For individuals who have chronic ET dilatory dysfunction despite medical management who receive balloon dilation of the ET, the evidence includes case series, systematic reviews of case series, a retrospective cohort study, and two Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs). Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The criteria for diagnosing ET dilatory dysfunction are not standardized. Several medical and surgical treatments are used for ET dilatory dysfunction, but there is limited evidence for available treatments. Most case series assessed provided follow-up of less than a year and all showed short-term improvement comparing symptoms before and after balloon dilation. The number of revision procedures required due to the failure of the first ET balloon dilation procedure was reported in 3 case series (n=714 patients); 122 revisions were reported. In one published RCT evaluating balloon dilation of the ET, patients were eligible if they reported persistent ET dilatory dysfunction symptoms as measured on the 7-item ETDQ, a tool to assess symptoms, and had abnormal tympanometry. A greater proportion of patients in the balloon dilation group demonstrated tympanogram normalization (52%) compared with the medical management group (14%) at 6 weeks and reported a reduction in symptoms at 6 weeks on the ETDQ. The durability of effect at 24 weeks was demonstrated in a subset of patients. The rate of adverse events was low, and none of the serious adverse events were thought to be related to the device or procedure. The 52-week follow-up data have not been reported. The second RCT enrolled patients with moderate to severe Eustachian tube dysfunction based on the ETDQ-7 but who were not required to have abnormal middle ear functional assessments. Symptom score change was the primary outcome and mean score decrease was greater in the balloon dilation group than the medical management group. In both RCTs the initiation, concomitant or continued use of medical therapy of multiple drug classes was at the discretion of the investigators. The durability of effect, rates of reoperation or revisions, and safety data over the first year are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes. Therefore, this service is not covered for BlueCHIP for Medicare and not medically necessary for Commercial products.

### **CODING**

The following code is not covered for BlueCHIP for Medicare and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

**C9745** Nasal endoscopy, surgical; balloon dilation of Eustachian tube

### **RELATED POLICIES**

None

## PUBLISHED

Provider Update, June 2019

Provider Update, Sep 2018

## REFERENCES:

1. Schilder AG, Bhutta MF, Butler CC, et al. Eustachian tube dysfunction: consensus statement on definition, types, clinical presentation and diagnosis. *Clin Otolaryngol*. Oct 2015; 40(5):407-411. PMID 26347263
2. Seibert JW, Danner CJ. Eustachian tube function and the middle ear. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am*. Dec 2006; 39(6):1221-1235. PMID 17097443
3. Llewellyn A, Norman G, Harden M, et al. Interventions for adult Eustachian tube dysfunction: a systematic review. *Health Technol Assess*. Jul 2014; 18(46):1-180, v-vi. PMID 25029951
4. Katz J. *Handbook of Clinical Audiology*. 5th ed. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002.
5. McCoul ED, Anand VK, Christos PJ. Validating the clinical assessment of eustachian tube dysfunction: The Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Questionnaire (ETDQ-7). *Laryngoscope*. May 2012; 122(5):1137-1141. PMID 22374681
6. Gluth MB, McDonald DR, Weaver AL, et al. Management of eustachian tube dysfunction with nasal steroid spray: a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. May 2011; 137(5):449-455. PMID 21576556
7. Norman G, Llewellyn A, Harden M, et al. Systematic review of the limited evidence base for treatments of Eustachian tube dysfunction: a health technology assessment. *Clin Otolaryngol*. Feb 2014;39(1):6-21. PMID 24438176
8. Poe DS, Hanna BM. Balloon dilation of the cartilaginous portion of the eustachian tube: initial safety and feasibility analysis in a cadaver model. *Am J Otolaryngol*. Mar-Apr 2011;32(2):115-123. PMID 20392533
9. Schroder S, Lehmann M, Ebmeyer J, et al. Balloon Eustachian tuboplasty: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Otolaryngol*. Dec 2015;40(6):629-638. PMID 25867023
10. Huisman JML, Verdam FJ, Stegeman I, et al. Treatment of Eustachian tube dysfunction with balloon dilation: A systematic review. *Laryngoscope*. Jan 2018;128(1):237-247. PMID 28799657
11. Hwang SY, Kok S, Walton J. Balloon dilation for eustachian tube dysfunction: systematic review. *J Laryngol Otol*. Jul 2016;130 Suppl 4:S2-6. PMID 27488333
12. Poe D, Anand V, Dean M, et al. Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube for dilatory dysfunction: A randomized controlled trial. *Laryngoscope*. Sep 20 2017. PMID 28940574
13. Food and Drug Administration. De Novo Classification Request For Acclarent Aera™ Eustachian Tube Balloon Dilation System. 2015; [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/reviews/DEN150056.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/DEN150056.pdf). Accessed January 2, 2018.
14. Food and Drug Administration. 510(k) Summary: XprESS ENT Dilation System. 2017; [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/pdf16/K163509.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/K163509.pdf). Accessed January 2, 2018.
15. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian tube [IPG 409]. 2011; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg409/chapter/1-Guidance>. Accessed October 28, 2017.
16. Meyer TA, O'Malley EM, Schlosser RJ, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Balloon Dilation as a Treatment for Persistent Eustachian Tube Dysfunction With 1-Year Follow-Up. *Otol Neurotol*. Aug 2018;39(7):894-902. PMID 29912819
17. Satmis MC, van der Torn M. Balloon dilatation of the Eustachian tube in adult patients with chronic dilatory tube dysfunction: a retrospective cohort study. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. Feb 2018;275(2):395-400. PMID 29285624

[CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS](#)

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

